

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) 1600 SE Main, Suite D Roswell, NM 88203 (575) 624-6131 www.snmedd.com

Roadway

Project Application Guidelines and Rating Criteria

PART ONE Project Application Guidelines
PART TWO Rating Criteria and Scoring Sheet

PART THREE Cost Estimate Information

PART FOUR Technical Committee Rating Criteria
PART FIVE Policy Committee Rating Criteria

PART ONE – Project Application Guidelines

The **Project Application** should be composed and prepared by the Applicant in narrative form. It is an application, separate and apart from the PFF or PPF forms. Those forms are requirements of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT).

The Project Application should contain a project description, including a project location map(s) and any other mapping/diagrams deemed necessary to give the SERTPO Policy and Technical Committee members a proper understanding of the project area.

Following the project description, the narrative should continue with the Cost Estimate Information, Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT), Pavement Condition, Drainage Condition, Environmental Impacts, Safety/Accident Characteristics, Project Readiness and Cost/Benefit.

When completing Part Five, the Applicant should continue the narrative, responding how the proposed project meets each of the ten federal planning factors and respond to the RTP Action questions as they apply. The Applicant's response should be concise, but complete. The Applicant will briefly summarize these points during their oral presentation, which is limited in time.

PART TWO – Rating Criteria and Scoring Sheet

The SERTPO Policy and Technical Committees both rely on rating criteria when vetting and scoring a proposed project application. Both Committees conduct scoring of a project application during a scheduled SERTPO meeting.

The Technical Committee uses Cost Estimate Information as explained in Part Three and rating criteria listed in Part Four. The Applicant should be prepared to answer any questions during the scheduled Technical Committee meeting.

The Policy Committee uses the federal planning factors as its criteria. The Applicant will be required to make oral presentation during a scheduled Policy Committee meeting and should be prepared to answer any questions during and after the presentation. Presentations may include PowerPoints and handouts.

Please take note that the Cost Estimate Information (Part Three) and rating categories (Parts Four and Five) correspond to the scoring sheet provided as the last page of this handout. Committee members use this exact scoring sheet during their scoring of project applications. The Technical Committee meeting usually precedes the Policy Committee Meeting. The meetings are back-to-back. Once the Technical Committee meeting adjourns, the Policy Committee meeting is called to order. High-score projects are usually given top priority, however, the NMDOT District 2 Roswell offices makes the final decision and considers other factors in addition to the SERTPO recommendations.

PART THREE - Cost Estimate Information

This part is to be provided by the applicant within the body of the project application. Separate costs for right-of-way, design construction costs (including any necessary drainage considerations) and construction management (inspection, testing, and surveying) shall be listed clearly so Committees may insert this information on these lines provided on the scoring sheet.

No.	Rating Criterio	on Category			Follow Instructions A-G	Points Given	
1.	Points in this part are derived from a combination of AADTs and the 2010 Decennial Census population number for the Applicant's jurisdiction. The Applicant will pull their AADT number from either NMDOT or their own sources. Census Decennial numbers to be used are listed on the next page and were accessed from the US Census Bureau – American Fact Finder. http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/community-facts.xhtml The purpose of using the factors listed in the Coefficient Factor Table provided below is to give the smaller rural communities in the region an incentive to apply to the Roadway Program.			A. Enter Population of City/County or CDP. Find your local government in the Census Tables on the next page and enter here.			
				B. Enter your AADT.			
				C. Multiply B (AADT) times the applicable factor listed in the A Column in the Coefficient Factor Table and enter the			
				new AADT here. If "Actual" is listed, enter B - the same AADT.			
				D. Multiply B (AADT) times the applicable factor listed in the B Column in the <u>Coefficient</u> <u>Factor Table</u> and enter here.			
				E. Using the AADT listed in C, find its applicable range in the Points Table below and enter the points here.			
	Coefficient Factor Table			F. Using the AADT listed in D,			
	Population	A	В	find its applicable range in the <u>Points Table</u> below and enter the points here.			
	0 - 5,000	2	1.9	G. Enter the higher of E or F here			
	5,001 – 10,000	1.75	1.8	and also for <u>Points Given</u> to the right.			
	10,001 – 15,000	1.5	1.7	Points	Table		
	15,001 – 20,000	1.25	1.6	No ADT	0		
	20,001 – 25,000	1	1.5	1 – 1500	8		
	25,001 – 30,000	Actual	1.4	1,501 – 3,000	11		
	30,001 – 35,000	Actual	1.3	3,001 – 4,000	14		
	35,001 – 40,000	Actual	1.2	4,001 – 5,000	17		
	> 40,001 Actual		1.1	>5,000	20		

		Census Tables				
	City / County / Census Designated Place (CDP)*	2010 Decennial Population	City / County or Census Designated Place (CDP)*	2010 Decennial Population	City / County or Census Designated Place (CDP)*	2010 Decennial Population
	Chaves County	65,645	Causey	104	Jal	2,047
	Curry County	48,376	Cloudcroft	674	Lake Arthur	436
	De Baca County	2,022	Clovis	37,775	Loving	1,413
	Eddy County	53,829	Corona	172	Lovington	11,009
	Guadalupe County	4,687	Dexter	1,266	Melrose*	651
	Lea County	64,727	Dora	133	Mescalero	1,388
	Lincoln County	20,497	Elida	197	Portales	12,280
	Otero County	63,797	Eunice	2,922	Roswell	48,366
	Roosevelt County	19,846	Floyd	133	Ruidoso	8,029
	Alamogordo	30,403	Fort Sumner	1,031	Ruidoso Downs	2,815
	Artesia	11,301	Grady	107	Tatum	798
	Capitan	1,489	Hagerman	1,257	Texico	1,130
	Carlsbad	26,138	Hobbs	34,122	Tularosa	2,842
	Carrizozo	996	Норе	105	Vaughn	446
2.	Pavement Condition		State of Repair			
	The Pavement Condition sh written description of the e paving conditions.	Description provi pictures and/or s		20		
	Pictures depicting the concentrate encouraged. Points shall contents	Description provi supporting data		10		
	rating ranges to the right.	No information p	provided	0		
	Drainage Condition	Level of Activity	′			
	This category is included to applicant has researched the on or adjacent to the projection.	No Drainage Eva Study		0		
	potential problems and hor total feasibility and cost of project. Please provide written desceffort. Mill & inlay/maintenare not impacting the road points up to a maximum of	Drainage Evaluat incidental proble solutions include estimate	ms noted with	10		
	Full points awarded is base thoroughness of the evalua					

4.	Environmental Impacts	Level of Activity		
	This category is included to score the environmental effects of the proposed project. Partial points may be awarded if the applicant's	No Preliminary Environmental Evaluation	0	
	level of effort demonstrates, through documentation, that letters to NMDOT and SHPO were submitted. Full points are awarded if written	Preliminary Environmental Evaluation done, need for Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental	5	
	communications (correspondence and/or e-mail) are received from NMDOT/SHPO, and	Assessment.		
	applicant includes said documentation.	Preliminary Environmental Evaluation done, shows no Impact – possible Categorical Exclusion.	10	
5.	Safety/Accident Characteristics	Level of Activity		
	This category is included to score the safety or vehicle accident characteristics of the roadway as compared to the level of safety following	No Accident or Safety Data submitted	0	
	completion of the project. Resources - For crash data, you may submit a request online to NMDOT Statewide Traffic Records System (STRS) at	Safety/Accident Evaluation performed with no accident/safety documentation	5	
	http://nmtrafficrecords.com/resources/data-request/. Please allow 10 business days for a response. Other resources may be found at http://tru.unm.edu/index.html and local police and sheriff departments.	Traffic Study performed that shows positive impact for improvement	10	
6.	Project Readiness	Local Lead Project		
	This category is included to score the level of work that has already been performed toward meeting the NMDOT regulations that must be	No preliminary activities performed	0	
	met prior to receiving approval to construct the project. Points are to be awarded for each	Pavement Design complete	5	
	activity completed at the time of application. Please provide written description of level of effort. The points are totaled for the work	Alignment Studies complete, if applicable	5	
	completed, and the score for this category will be the sum of those total points, not to exceed	Right-of-Way Acquisition complete	5	
	20 points. Note: On State Lead Projects, local agencies desiring additional points can perform any of	Project Design complete	5	
	the activities listed for five points each, with a maximum total of 20.	State Lead Project (NMDOT Priority)		
		Low Priority	5	
		Medium Priority High Priority	10 15	

7.	Cost/Benefit	Cost/Benefit Index		
	This category is included to score the benefit	0 – 50	20	
	per vehicle mile as compared to the total	51 – 100	17	
	amount requested. Divide the total amount	101 – 150	14	
	requested by the length of the project (in miles)	151 – 200	11	
	and the initial year AADT to determine the	201 - 250	8	
	Cost/Benefit Index. Use the AADT from Part	251 – 300	5	
	Four (1)(B).	Over 300	2	
	For example, \$1,200,000/.75 mile/8000 ADT = 200 Cost/Benefit Index.			

PART Five – Policy Committee Rating Criteria

The Policy Committee uses the ten federal planning factors of Economic Vitality, Safety, Security, Accessibility/Mobility, Environment, Connectivity, System Efficiency, System Preservation, Resiliency/Reliability and Travel/Tourism.

Additionally, the scoring incorporates the five goals of the Southeast Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) approved August 2015 and includes questions derived from the RTP action items that pertain to each goal.

Federal Planning Factors		Southeast Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Goals	Points			
	23 CFR §450.206 Effective 6/27/16	The RTP goal that most closely relates to the Federal Planning Factor is listed alongside the Planning Factor. When considering the Planning Factor, consider the RTP Goal and Action Item(s) questions during scoring.	Maximum Points	Range 0 – 5 pts		
1.	Support the economic vitality of the United States, the States,	Provide Multimodal Access and Connectivity for Community Prosperity and Health				
	metropolitan areas and non- metropolitan areas, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity and efficiency.	 a. If the project is within a Regional Transit District (RTD), did project planning involve the RTD, if applicable? b. Is the project within any corridor designation? c. Does the project bring awareness to freight corridors? 	5			
		2 Improve Safety and Public Health for All System Users				
2.	Increase the safety of the transportation system and non-motorized users.	 a. Did the project planning include emergency management input (i.e., first responders)? b. Did project planning consider safety improvements? c. Can bus stop/shelters be incorporated into design? d. Is the project affected by MainStreet development and, if so, does MS design take public transit into consideration? e. Can the Applicant make any suggestions to SERTPO for cafety training (avarages) as a result of its planning? 	5			
3.	Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.	safety training/awareness as a result of its planning? f. Did project planning consider crash data in the area? g. Did the project planning consider pedestrian facilities, sidewalks or trails, for walkability or connectivity? h. Did the project planning process identify any zoning deficiencies relating to pedestrian/bicycle facilities and offer recommendations?	5			

		Provide Multimodal Access and Connectivity for Commun.	ity Prosperity and F	lealth	
Increase access mobility of peo	sibility and ople and freight.	a. Does the project area have any involvement with a Regional Transit District (RTD), if applicable?b. Is the project within any corridor designation?c. Does the project bring awareness to freight corridors?	5		
environment, p	Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve quality of life and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.	Operate with Transparency and Accountability Respect New Mexico's Cultures, Environment, History and	Quality of Life		
between transp improvements local planned g		 a. Did project planning involve additional stakeholders (e.g., citizens, businesses, etc.) in the planning process? b. Was there an opportunity to promote a Scenic Byway in the project area? c. Did project planning incorporate the area's culture and history through design or otherwise? 	5		
6. Enhance the in	tegration and	Provide Multimodal Access and Connectivity for Community Prosperity and Health			
connectivity of transportation and between n	the	 a. Does the project area have any involvement with a Regional Transit District (RTD), (if applicable)? b. Is the project within any corridor designation? c. Does the project bring awareness to freight corridors? 	5		
		Preserve and Maintain Our Transportation System for the	Long Term		
7. Promote efficie management a	-		5		
8. Emphasize the the existing tra system.	preservation of nsportation	a. Did project planning identify any rail stakeholders that can be invited to SERTPO?b. Are other roadway funding sources being considered?	5		
system and red	e transportation duce/ mitigate pacts of surface	c. Did the project planning process identify any form of safety training appropriate for SERTPO?	5		
	Enhance travel and tourism.	Respect New Mexico's Cultures, Environment, History and	Quality of Life		
10. Enhance travel		a. Was there an opportunity to promote a Scenic Byway in the project area?b. Did project planning incorporate the area's culture and history through design or otherwise?	5		
		Total	50		

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) Scoring Sheet for Roadway

AGENCY		PROJECT	LENGTH / N	LENGTH/MILES	
Sco	ope of Wo	rk:			
1.	COST ES	STIMATE INFORMATION	,	AMOUNT	
	1.1	Right-of-Way		\$	
	1.2	Design (includes Environmental)		\$	
	1.3	Construction/Design		\$	
	1.4	Construction Management		\$	
	1.5	Total Amount Requested (for Cost/Bo	enefit Analys	•	
 2.	TECHNIC	CAL COMMITTEE RATING		POINTS	
	2.1	AADT	(20)		
	2.2	Pavement Condition	(20)		
	2.3	Drainage Condition	(10)		
	2.4	Environmental Impacts	(10)		
	2.5	Safety/Accident Characteristics	(10)		
	2.6	Project Readiness	(20)		
	2.7	Cost Benefit	<u>(20)</u>		
			(110)	Total Technical Points	
 3.	POLICY	COMMITTEE RATING		POINTS	
	3.1	Economic Vitality	(05)		
	3.2	Safety	(05)		
	3.3	Security	(05)		
	3.4	Accessibility/Mobility and Freight	(05)		
	3.5	Environment	(05)		
	3.6	Connectivity	(05)		
	3.7	System Efficiency	(05)		
	3.8	System Preservation	(05)		
	3.9	System Resiliency and Reliability	(05)		
	3.10	Travel and Tourism	<u>(05)</u>		
			(50)	Total Policy Points	
3.	POINT T				
	Techn	nical Committee Total (110)			
	Policy	Committee Total (50)			
		Project Total Points			
Ra	ting Offic	cial's Name			
Go	vernmer	ntal Entity Represented			

Note: One (1) Vote per Local Government